

Properties of the Typing Relation

Type Safety

The safety (or soundness) of this type system can be expressed by two properties:

1. *Progress*: A well-typed term is not stuck

If $t : T$, then either t is a value or else $t \longrightarrow t'$ for some t' .

2. *Preservation*: Types are preserved by one-step evaluation

If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If `true` : R, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
2. If `false` : R, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3` : R, then $t_1 : \text{Bool}$, $t_2 : R$, and $t_3 : R$.
4. If `0` : R, then $R = \text{Nat}$.
5. If `succ t1` : R, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
6. If `pred t1` : R, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
7. If `iszero t1` : R, then $R = \text{Bool}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If `true` : R, then `R = Bool`.
2. If `false` : R, then `R = Bool`.
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3` : R, then `t1 : Bool`, `t2 : R`, and `t3 : R`.
4. If `0` : R, then `R = Nat`.
5. If `succ t1` : R, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
6. If `pred t1` : R, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
7. If `iszero t1` : R, then `R = Bool` and `t1 : Nat`.

Proof: ...

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If `true` : R, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
2. If `false` : R, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3` : R, then $t_1 : \text{Bool}$, $t_2 : R$, and $t_3 : R$.
4. If `0` : R, then $R = \text{Nat}$.
5. If `succ t1` : R, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
6. If `pred t1` : R, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
7. If `iszero t1` : R, then $R = \text{Bool}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.

Proof: ...

This leads directly to a recursive algorithm for calculating the type of a term...

Typechecking Algorithm

```
typeof(t) = if t = true then Bool
            else if t = false then Bool
            else if t = if t1 then t2 else t3 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                let T2 = typeof(t2) in
                let T3 = typeof(t3) in
                if T1 = Bool and T2=T3 then T2
                else "not typable"
            else if t = 0 then Nat
            else if t = succ t1 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                if T1 = Nat then Nat else "not typable"
            else if t = pred t1 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                if T1 = Nat then Nat else "not typable"
            else if t = iszero t1 then
                let T1 = typeof(t1) in
                if T1 = Nat then Bool else "not typable"
```

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value.

Proof:

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value.

Proof: Recall the syntax of values:

`v ::=`

`true`
`false`
`nv`

`nv ::=`

`0`
`succ nv`

values

true value

false value

numeric value

numeric values

zero value

successor value

For part 1,

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value.

Proof: Recall the syntax of values:

<code>v ::=</code>	<i>values</i>
<code>true</code>	<i>true value</i>
<code>false</code>	<i>false value</i>
<code>nv</code>	<i>numeric value</i>
<code>nv ::=</code>	<i>numeric values</i>
<code>0</code>	<i>zero value</i>
<code>succ nv</code>	<i>successor value</i>

For part 1, if v is `true` or `false`, the result is immediate.

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value.

Proof: Recall the syntax of values:

<code>v ::=</code>	<i>values</i>
<code>true</code>	<i>true value</i>
<code>false</code>	<i>false value</i>
<code>nv</code>	<i>numeric value</i>
<code>nv ::=</code>	<i>numeric values</i>
<code>0</code>	<i>zero value</i>
<code>succ nv</code>	<i>successor value</i>

For part 1, if v is `true` or `false`, the result is immediate. But v cannot be `0` or `succ nv`, since the inversion lemma tells us that v would then have type `Nat`, not `Bool`.

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value.

Proof: Recall the syntax of values:

<code>v ::=</code>	<i>values</i>
<code> true</code>	<i>true value</i>
<code> false</code>	<i>false value</i>
<code> nv</code>	<i>numeric value</i>
<code>nv ::=</code>	<i>numeric values</i>
<code> 0</code>	<i>zero value</i>
<code> succ nv</code>	<i>successor value</i>

For part 1, if v is `true` or `false`, the result is immediate. But v cannot be `0` or `succ nv`, since the inversion lemma tells us that v would then have type `Nat`, not `Bool`. Part 2 is similar.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some type T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some type T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof:

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some type T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some type T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some type T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$
 $t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some type T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$
 $t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

By the induction hypothesis, either t_1 is a value or else there is some t'_1 such that $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$. If t_1 is a value, then the canonical forms lemma tells us that it must be either `true` or `false`, in which case either E-IFTRUE or E-IFFALSE applies to t . On the other hand, if $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$, then, by E-IF,
 $t \longrightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some type T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The cases for rules T-ZERO, T-SUCC, T-PRED, and T-ISZERO are similar.

(Recommended: Try to reconstruct them.)

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on the given typing derivation.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on the given typing derivation.

Case T-TRUE: $t = \text{true}$ $T = \text{Bool}$

Then t is a value, so it cannot be that $t \longrightarrow t'$ for any t' , and the theorem is vacuously true.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on the given typing derivation.

Case T-IF:

$t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \quad t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

There are three evaluation rules by which $t \longrightarrow t'$ can be derived: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Consider each case separately.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on the given typing derivation.

Case T-IF:

$t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \quad t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

There are three evaluation rules by which $t \longrightarrow t'$ can be derived: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Consider each case separately.

Subcase E-IFTRUE: $t_1 = \text{true} \quad t' = t_2$

Immediate, by the assumption $t_2 : T$.

(E-IFFALSE subcase: Similar.)

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Proof: By induction on the given typing derivation.

Case T-IF:

$t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \quad t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

There are three evaluation rules by which $t \longrightarrow t'$ can be derived: E-IFTRUE, E-IFFALSE, and E-IF. Consider each case separately.

Subcase E-IF: $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1 \quad t' = \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$

Applying the IH to the subderivation of $t_1 : \text{Bool}$ yields

$t'_1 : \text{Bool}$. Combining this with the assumptions that $t_2 : T$ and

$t_3 : T$, we can apply rule T-IF to conclude that

$\text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T$, that is, $t' : T$.